IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

FLORENCE WALLACE, ET AL. : CONSOLIDATED TO:

Plaintiffs, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-cv-0286

v. •

(JUDGE CAPUTO)

ROBERT J. POWELL, ET AL.

Defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM CONWAY, ET AL.

Plaintiffs, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-cv-0291

v. :

(JUDGE CAPUTO)

MICHAEL T. CONAHAN, ET AL.

Defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

H.T., ET AL.

Plaintiffs, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-cv-0357

V. :

: (JUDGE CAPUTO)

MARK A. CIAVARELLA, ET AL.

Defendants.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMANTHA HUMANIK, :

Plaintiffs, : CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:09-cv-0630

v.

: (JUDGE CAPUTO)

MARK A. CIAVARELLA, JR.,

ET AL.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

Plaintiffs have, contemporaneously with the filing of the present Unopposed Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, filed their Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and Class Certification. The present Motion and Brief address only the award of attorneys' fees and costs. In support of their request for a combined award of attorneys' fees and costs, Plaintiffs by and through their undersigned counsel, aver the following:

1. Plaintiffs' counsel request a <u>combined</u> award of common benefit attorneys' fees and expenses of \$732,968.36. This amount will be paid directly from the Settlement Amount. The total represents a <u>combined</u> award of common benefit attorneys' fees and common benefit expenses and costs incurred by plaintiffs' counsel of less than 30% (approximately 29.3%).

- 2. Pursuant to the terms of the MSA, the Provider Defendants agreed not to oppose an attorneys' fee award up to 30% of the gross settlement (totaling \$750,000.00), plus an additional amount to reimburse Plaintiffs' counsel for common benefit costs and expenses. *See* MSA, a copy of which is attached to Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of this Motion, made a part hereof and marked Exhibit "A" at Section II.B.
- 3. As stated above, the combined amount of the attorneys' fees and costs will not exceed 30% of the total Settlement Amount and is fair and reasonable considering the thousands of people who benefitted from the Settlement, the skill and proficiency of counsel, the complexity and duration of the litigation, and the amount of time expended by Class Counsel in achieving the result for the Class. See Gunter v. Ridgewood Energy Corp., 223 F.3d 190, 195 (3d Cir. 2000) (identifying seven factors to be considered in the Third Circuit when deciding whether to approve a fee petition); see also Varacallo v. Mass. Mutual Life Ins. Co., 226 F.R.D. 207, 248 (N.J. 2005) (finding requested attorneys' fees of \$58.1 million reasonable using common-fund method of fee calculation on settlement valued at \$771.9 million).
- 4. The percentage that Class Counsel requests as an award of attorneys' fees is also within the range of attorneys' fees approved in similar cases. *See Boone v. City of Philadelphia*, 668 F. Supp.2d 693, 713 15 (finding range of awards for

attorneys' fees <u>only</u> in similar actions to be on average 37.1% in class action settlements ranging from \$1 Million to \$50 Million and finding an award of attorneys' fees <u>only</u> totaling 30% in civil rights actions regarding strip searches to be reasonable).

- 5. In the previous Mericle Settlement, this Court approved a 24.4% award of combined attorneys fees and costs. *See* December 14, 2012 Order at ¶ 19 (Doc. No. 1268.).
- 6. Judicial review of attorneys' fee applications in class actions is mandated to assure that attorneys' fees are fair and reasonable. *See* Fed R. Civ. P. 23(h); *see also In re General Motors Pick-up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 55 F.3d 768, 819 (3d Cir. 1995). The decision to approve an attorneys' fee petition and the amount of an award of attorneys' fees is within this Court's sound discretion. *In re Cendant Corp. Prides Litig.*, 243 F.3d 722, 727-30 (3d Cir. 2001). Using the percentage-of-recovery method of calculating fees, and assessing the fee against the seven factors enumerated by the Third Circuit in *Gunter*, Co-Lead Counsel submit that their request for Common Benefit Fees and Expenses should be granted.
- 7. Further support for this Motion is set forth, at length, in Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, which is incorporated herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant their Unopposed Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs..

Dated: May 30, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ David Senoff

David S. Senoff Lauren C. Fantini CAROSELLI BEACHLER MCTIERNAN & CONBOY 1500 Walnut Street, Suite 507 Philadelphia, Pa 19102 (215) 609-1350

William R. Caroselli CAROSELLI BEACHLER MCTIERNAN & CONBOY 20 Stanwix Street, 7th Floor Pittsburgh, Pa 19522 (412) 391-9860

Michael J. Cefalo James J. Albert CEFALO & ASSOCIATES 309 Wyoming Avenue West Pittston, PA 18643 (570) 655-5555

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Case No. 09-cv-0286

By: /s/ Sol Weiss

Sol Weiss Joseph J. Fantini ANAPOL SCHWARTZ 1710 Spruce Street Philadelphia, Pa 19103 (215) 735-1130

Barry H. Dyller DYLLER LAW FIRM Gettysburg House 88 North Franklin Street Wilkes-Barre, PA 18701 (570) 829-4860

Johanna L. Gelb GELB LAW FIRM 538 Spruce Street, Suite 600 Scranton, PA 18503 (570) 343-6383

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Case No. 09-cv-0291

By: /s/ Marsha Levick

Marsha L. Levick Lourdes M. Rosado Emily C. Keller JUVENILE LAW CENTER 1315 Walnut Street, Suite 400 Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 625-0551

Daniel Segal Rebecca L. Santoro HANGLEY ARONCHICK SEGAL PUDLIN & SCHILLER One Logan Square, 27th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 (215) 568-6200

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Case No. 09-cv-0357

By: /s/ Daniel Kleiner

Daniel E. Kleiner METZGER & KLEINER Two Penn Center, Suite 1204 15th Street & JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 567-6616

Richard G. Freeman 924 Cherry Street, 4th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 574-8818

Attorneys for Plaintiff Case No. 09-0630

CERTIFICATION OF CONCURRENCE PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.1

I, David S. Senoff Esquire, Co-Lead Counsel do hereby certify that I sought concurrence for the within Motion from each and every party who has standing to object to this motion and all of said parties concur in this motion.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

CAROSELLI BEACHLER MCTIERNAN & CONBOY

By:/s/ David S. Senoff

DAVID S. SENOFF, ESQUIRE LAUREN C. FANTINI, ESQUIRE 1845 WALNUT STREET, 15TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

T: (215) 609-1350 F: (215) 609-1351

Date: May 30, 2014

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David S. Senoff, Esquire, hereby certify that, a true and correct copy of the Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, was filed electronically on May 30, 2014 and is available for viewing electronically. Additionally, the foregoing Motion was served by First Class Mail upon the following *pro se* parties:

Mark Ciavarella 15008-067 Federal Correctional Institution PO BOX 5000 Perkin, IL 61555-5000 Michael Conahan Inmate # 15009-067 FCI Coleman Low PO Box 1031 Coleman, FL 33521

CAROSELLI BEACHLER MCTIERNAN & CONBOY

BY:/S/ DAVID S. SENOFF

David S. Senoff, Esquire Lauren C. Fantini, Esquire 1845 Walnut Street, 15th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

T: (215) 609-1350 F: (215) 609-1351